1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRI	CT OF ARIZONA	
8	,		
9	IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability	No. MDL 15-02641-PHX-DGC	
10	Litigation,		
11			
12	Sherr-Una Booker, an individual,	No. CV-16-00474-PHX-DGC	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	V.	TIP DICE	
15	C. R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey corporation; and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., an	VERDICT	
16	Arizona corporation,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20	We, the jury, duly empaneled and sv	vorn in the above entitled action, upon our	
21	oaths, find as follows:		
22	A. LIABILITY		
23	1. Strict Product Liability Desig	n Defect Claim	
24	Do you find that either Defendant is liable to Plaintiff on the strict product liability		
25	design defect claim?		
26	C. R. Bard, Inc.	Yes No	
27	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.	Yes No	
28			

1	2. Strict Product Liability Failure to Warn Claim		
2	Do you find that either Defendant is liable to Plaintiff on the strict product liability		
3	failure to warn claim?		
4	C. R. Bard, Inc Yes No		
5	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc Yes No		
6			
7	3. Negligent Design Claim		
8	Do you find that either Defendant is liable to Plaintiff on the negligent design		
9	claim?		
10	C. R. Bard, Inc Yes No		
11	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc Yes No		
12			
13	4. Negligent Failure to Warn Claim		
14	Do you find that either Defendant is liable to Plaintiff on the negligent failure to		
15	warn claim?		
16	C. R. Bard, Inc Yes No		
17	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc Yes No		
18			
19	If you answered "No" to each question, stop here and answer no further questions.		
20	Your verdict is for Defendants. If you answered "Yes" to any question, complete each of		
21	the following sections.		
22			
23	B. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES		
24	If you find either Defendant liable on any of the claims set forth above, what		
25	amount of damages do you find will reasonably compensate Plaintiff for her injuries?		
26			
27	\$		
28			

1	C. INTERVENING CAUSE			
2	As noted in the jury instructions, if you find that the action of Dr. Kang constituted			
3	an intervening cause of all of Plaintiff's compensatory damages, Defendants are no			
4	liable for those damages and your verdict above should be for Defendants.			
5	1. If you find either Defendant liable on any of the claims set forth in Part A			
6	above, do you find that the action of Dr. Brandon Kang constituted an intervening cause			
7	of some, but not all, of Plaintiff's compensatory damages? Yes No			
8	2. If you answered "Yes," what portion of the compensatory damages			
9	identified in response to Part B above was caused by Dr. Kang?			
10	\$			
11				
12	D. APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT			
13	1. Do you find that Dr. Sarwat Amer caused or contributed to Plaintiff's			
14	injuries?			
15	Yes No			
16	2. If you answered "Yes," please provide the relative degrees of fault, if any			
17	that you assign to Defendants and Dr. Amer (your total must equal 100%):			
18	C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.:%			
19	Dr. Amer:%			
20	100%			
21	E. PUNITIVE DAMAGES			
22	Do you find that punitive damages should be awarded against either Defendant?			
23	C. R. Bard, Inc Yes No			
24	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Yes No			
25				
26				
27				
28	Jury Foreperson Signature (using number)  Date			

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability	No. MDL 15-02641-PHX-DGC
10	Litigation,	
11		
12	Sherr-Una Booker, an individual,	No. CV-16-00474-PHX-DGC
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	VEDDICE
15	C. R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey corporation; and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., an	VERDICT
16	Arizona corporation,	(Punitive Damages)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21	We, the jury, duly empaneled and sv	worn in the above entitled action, upon our
22	oaths, find the amount of punitive damages to	be:
23		
24	\$	
25		
26		
27	Jury Foreperson Signature (using num	ber) Date
28		